Antiproliferative and antioxidant activities of common vegetables: A comparative study
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A B S T R A C T

Epidemiological studies have consistently linked abundant consumption of fruits and vegetables to a reduction of the risk of developing several types of cancer. In most cases, however, the identification of specific fruits and vegetables that are responsible for these effects is still lacking, retarding the implementation of effective dietary-based chemopreventive approaches. As a first step towards the identification of foods endowed with the most potent chemopreventive activities, we evaluated the inhibitory effects of extracts isolated from 34 vegetables on the proliferation of 8 different tumour cell lines. The extracts from cruciferous vegetables as well as those from vegetables of the genus Allium inhibited the proliferation of all tested cancer cell lines whereas extracts from vegetables most commonly consumed in Western countries were much less effective. The antiproliferative effect of vegetables was specific to cells of cancerous origin and was found to be largely independent of their antioxidant properties. These results thus indicate that vegetables have very different inhibitory activities towards cancer cells and that the inclusion of cruciferous and Allium vegetables in the diet is essential for effective dietary-based chemopreventive strategies.

1. Introduction

It is currently estimated that dietary factors account for approximately one third of cancer death, similar to the impact of smoking (Doll & Peto, 1981). Such a close relationship between diet and cancer is well illustrated by the large variations in rates of specific cancers among countries and by the observations that these rates are strongly correlated with differences in several aspects of the diet (Doll & Peto, 1981; Willett, 2002). Among the dietary factors that are most closely linked to cancer, a large number of population-based studies have consistently shown that individuals who eat five servings or more of fruits and vegetables daily have approximately half the risk of developing a wide variety of cancer types, particularly those of the gastrointestinal tract (Gescher, Pastorino, Plummer, & Manson, 1998; World Cancer Research Fund & American Institute for Cancer Research, 1997). These chemopreventive properties of fruits and vegetables arise from their high content of phytochemicals such as phenolic compounds (Naczk & Shahidi, 2004, 2006) that target several key events involved in the development of cancer (Dorai & Aggarwal, 2004; Surh, 2003). Potential mechanisms for cancer prevention of phytochemicals include prevention of DNA adduct formation (Ames, Gold, & Willett, 1995), enhanced carcinogen elimination (Talay, 2000), inhibition of inflammatory processes (Surh et al., 2001), interference with tumour angiogenesis (Lamy, Gingras, & Béliveau, 2002; Tosetti, Ferrari, De Flora, & Albini, 2002), as well as through a direct cytotoxic effect on tumour cells (Martin, 2006). This pleiotropic mechanism of action of phytochemicals imply that the chemopreventive properties that are associated with fruits and vegetables consumption are complex and likely arise from synergistic combinations from several distinct molecules, not only within a given food but also from the overall composition of the diet (Lee, Lee, & Lee, 2004; Liu, 2003; McCullough & Giovannucci, 2004). Clearly, the identification of specific foods or food groups that have beneficial effects on certain types of cancer represent an important issue in order to bonify current chemopreventive strategies based on increased consumption of fruits and vegetables.
As a first step towards this goal, we have undertaken a systematic evaluation of the chemopreventive potential of several vegetables by monitoring their antiproliferative effects on a wide variety of tumour cell lines. Surprisingly, we found that there are considerable differences in the ability of vegetables to inhibit the proliferation of various cancer cells. We observed that this anticancer property is preferentially associated with cruciferous and *Allium* vegetables, whereas most vegetables commonly consumed in Western countries have a much weaker antiproliferative effects. These results illustrate the need to improve current dietary recommendations by actively promoting increased consumption of cruciferous and *Allium* vegetables as an essential means to reduce the incidence of cancer.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Fluorescein sodium salt, 2,2′-azobis (2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride (AAPH) and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada).

2.2. Preparation of vegetable juices

Fresh vegetables were obtained from local producers (Montreal, QC, Canada) in May–July 2005, stored at 4 °C, and processed within 24 h. Juices were prepared by passing 100 g of vegetables through a domestic centrifugal juice extractor (Juiceman Professional series 210, model JM210C, Montreal, QC, Canada). The liquid obtained was clarified by centrifugation at 50,000 × g, 45 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was then sterilized by filtration through a 0.22-μm filter, and aliquots were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method using the Coomassie Plus assay kit (Pierce).

2.3. Cell culture

All cell lines were cultured at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO\(_2\). AGS (stomach adenocarcinoma, ATCC CRL-1739) were cultured in F12-K medium containing 10% FBS; MCF-7 (mammary gland adenocarcinoma, ATCC HTB-22) were cultured in MEM containing 0.01 mg/ml insulin and 10% FBS; Panc-1 (pancreatic carcinoma ATCC CRL-1469) were cultured in DMEM high glucose containing 10% FBS; PC-3 (prostatic adenocarcinoma, ATCC CRL-1435) were cultured in Ham’s F12 containing 10% calf serum; A549 (lung carcinoma, ATCC CCL-185) cells were cultured in DMEM low glucose containing 10% calf serum; Daoy (medulloblastoma, ATCC HTB-186) and U-87 MG (glioblastoma, ATCC HTB-14) cells were cultured in MEM containing 10% FBS; Caki-2 (renal carcinoma, ATCC HTB-47) cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium containing 10% FBS. NHDF (normal human dermal fibroblasts) were cultured in FGM-2 medium (Clonetics) containing 1 ng/ml hFGF, 5 μg/ml insulin, and 2% FBS.

2.4. Cell proliferation assay

Cells were plated in 96-well plates at 2500–5000 cells/well in 200 μl complete medium and incubated at 37 °C under a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO\(_2\) for 24 h. The next day, the medium was removed and replaced by 100 μl fresh medium containing 1% FCS and the specified concentrations of juices. Cell viability was determined by assaying the mitochondrial activity of treated cells after a 48 h incubation, with the highly sensitive WST-1 assay. Briefly, 10 μl of tetrazolium salt WST-1 reagent was added to each well and the soluble formazan dye produced by metabolically active cells was monitored every minute for 30 min at 37 °C on a SpectraMax Plus reader (molecular devices).

2.5. Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay

The ORAC-fluorescein assay was performed essentially as described previously (Dávalos, Gómez-Cordovés, & Bartolomé, 2004) with minor modifications. Briefly, 20 μl of antioxidant (vegetable extracts or Trolox standards), and 120 μl of 0.117 μM fluorescein in 75 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 were pipetted into the well of the microplate. The mixture was preincubated for 15 min at 37 °C, and then 60 μl of 40 mM AAPH were added rapidly using an electronic multichannel pipette. The fluorescence (\(\lambda_{ex} = 485\) nm; \(\lambda_{em} = 520\) nm) was recorded every min for 80 min using a SpectraMaxTM Gemini fluorescence plate reader (Molecular Devices). Calibration solutions of Trolox (0.5–8 μM) were also carried out in each assay. Data were exported from the SoftMax Pro 3.1 software to Excel (Microsoft) for further calculations. The area under the fluorescence decay curve (AUC) was calculated as \[ \text{AUC} = t_1 f_1 + t_2 f_2 + \cdots + t_n f_n \] where \(f_i\) is the initial fluorescence at \(t = 0\) and \(f_i\) the fluorescence at \(t = i\). ORAC-FL values were expressed as Trolox equivalents by using a standard curve and regression analysis performed using the Prism 4.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

3. Results

3.1. Inhibition of tumour cell proliferation by vegetable extracts

As a first step towards the identification of vegetables containing antiproliferative activities towards cancer cells, the inhibitory effects of extracts from a wide variety of commonly consumed vegetables on eight different tumour cell lines derived from stomach, kidney, prostate, breast, brain, pancreatic and lung cancer were examined. There was considerable differences in the sensitivity of these cell lines to the vegetable extracts (Fig. 1). Tumour cells derived from prostate and stomach cancer were most sensitive to the extracts while cells from kidney, pancreatic and lung cancers were much less affected by the tested extracts. For example, 23 of the 34 the tested vegetable extracts inhibited the proliferation of prostate tumour cells by more than 50%, while only 7 extracts were active against kidney cancer cells (Table 1).

In addition to cruciferous vegetables, all members of the *Allium* family tested in this study were powerful inhibitors of tumour cell proliferation. In fact, among all vegetables tested in this study, the extract from garlic was by far the strongest inhibitor of tumour cell proliferation, with complete growth inhibition of all tested cell lines. Leek, immature (green) and mature (yellow) onions were also highly inhibitory against most cell lines, although green onion was less active against tumour cells from the kidney, while yellow onion was a modest inhibitor of the lung tumour cells and had no significant inhibitory activity against kidney tumour cells. Overall, these results indicate that there is substantial differences in the antiproliferative properties of vegetables against tumour cells and that cruciferous, dark green and *Allium* vegetables are endowed with potent anticancer properties (Table 2).

The potency of inhibition of cell proliferation by cruciferous and *Allium* vegetables was then investigated, using serial dilutions of the extracts (Fig. 2a). Garlic was the most potent inhibitor of cell proliferation with a marked reduction of U-87 glioblastoma cell proliferation at a 1/1000 (corresponding to 3.32 mg raw vegetable/ml) dilution of the extract. Brussels sprouts extracts also strongly inhibited the proliferation of these cells, with 30% inhibition at a 1/1000 dilution (3.32 mg raw vegetable/ml) and complete
Fig. 1. Inhibition of tumour cell proliferation by vegetable extracts. Tumour cell lines derived from stomach adenocarcinoma (AGS), mammary gland adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), pancreatic carcinoma (Panc-1), prostatic adenocarcinoma (PC-3), lung carcinoma (A549), medulloblastoma (Daoy), renal carcinoma (Caki-2), and glioblastoma (U-87 MG) were incubated for 48 h in the absence or in the presence of a 1/20 dilution (corresponding to 166 mg raw vegetable per ml) of the indicated vegetable extracts. Cell viability was determined by assaying the mitochondrial activity of treated cells using the WST-1 assay. Results are the means ± SD of 4 experiments performed in triplicates.
inhibition at 1/100 dilution of the extract (33.2 mg raw vegetable/ml). The inhibitory effect of Brussels sprouts was slightly higher than that of green onion (60% at 1/100 dilution), while mature onion and broccoli inhibited proliferation by 30% at a 1/100 dilution, with complete inhibition being only observed at a 1/20 dilution (166 mg raw vegetable/ml).

In order to determine whether the strong inhibitory effects of cruciferous and \textit{Allium} vegetables were specific for tumour cells, the effects of these extracts on the proliferation of normal fibroblast were subsequently monitored. As shown in Fig. 2b, all extracts had strong inhibitory activities against a glioblastoma cell line but had negligible effects on the growth of normal cells, strongly suggesting that the antiproliferative properties of these vegetables are specific to cells of tumour origin.

### 3.2. Antiproliferative and antioxidant activities

Oxidative stress is now recognized as a major factor associated with the development of chronic diseases, including cancer and cardiovascular disease (Ames et al., 1995). This has led to the hypothesis that the beneficial effects of fruits and vegetables could be largely explained by their high content of antioxidants (Prior, 2003). Antioxidant activity is involved in cancer prevention at the initiation stage while antiproliferative activity is targeting cancer cells at the promotion and progression stages (Manson, 2003; Surh, 2003). We measured the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) of the extracts (Table 3), and found that there were significant differences between ORAC values of various vegetables. Garlic, curly cabbage and Brussels sprouts were the strongest source of antioxidants (41.1, 40.5, and 32.9 \( \mu \)mol Trolox equiv./ml, respectively) whereas other vegetables such as lettuce and cucumber contained considerably less antioxidants (1.5 and 1.4 \( \mu \)mol Trolox equiv./ml). Our results suggest that both antioxidant and antiproliferative activities, involved in two different mechanisms of chemoprevention, could be considered for a better evaluation of the global anticancer potential of fruits and vegetables. The results are in agreement with reports showing that many powerful anticancer vegetables, including cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli and cauliflower, show modest antioxidant activities in vitro (Wu et al., 2004).

### 4. Discussion

Over 250 epidemiological studies have suggested that individuals consuming diets high in fruits and vegetables have a reduced risk of developing several cancers (World Cancer Research Fund & American Institute for Cancer Research, 1997). These observations form the basis of current recommendations from governmental health agencies around the world promoting consumption of at least 5 servings of these foods daily as a mean to reduce the inci-
ties of fruits and vegetables result from the additive and synergistic properties. Intake of particular foods or food groups with high anticancer molecules consumed by individuals but also must take into account the chemopreventive effects of fruits and vegetables should not be interpreted only in terms of the quantity of fruits and vegetables consumed, but also in terms of the quality of these foods. Studies have shown that the chemopreventive properties of certain foods and food groups are due to their high antioxidant capacity, antiproliferative activity, and protein concentration. Table 3 shows the antioxidant capacity, antiproliferative activity, and protein concentration of vegetable juices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetable extracts</th>
<th>Antioxidant capacity (µmol Trolox equiv./mL)</th>
<th>Antiproliferative activity (% inhibition)</th>
<th>Protein concentration (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garlic</td>
<td>41.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.15 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curly cabbage</td>
<td>40.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>95 ± 5</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels sprouts</td>
<td>32.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.94 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beetroot</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cabbage</td>
<td>23.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>94 ± 2</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiddlehead</td>
<td>19.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>64 ± 6</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>17.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggplant</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>1 ± 6</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow onion</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>99 ± 2</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green onion</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kale</td>
<td>9.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.32 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagus</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>71 ± 1</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange bell pepper</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>35 ± 4</td>
<td>7.08 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broccoli</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raddicchio</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>17 ± 7</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radish</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4 ± 9</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leek</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.04</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutabaga</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>71 ± 1</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jalapeno</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>49 ± 4</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green beans</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>88 ± 3</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romaine</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lettuce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fennel bulb</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2 ± 10</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endive</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0 ± 1</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bock choy</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1 ± 6</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celery</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>70 ± 2</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston lettuce</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0 ± 12</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acorn squash</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>53 ± 10</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>41 ± 11</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucumber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is increasing evidence that the chemopreventive properties of fruits and vegetables result from the additive and synergistic effects of several phytochemicals present in these foods (Lee et al., 2004; Liu, 2003). In this respect, the use of vegetable extracts provides an interesting approach to assess the anticancer properties of a given vegetable since these extracts contain several phytochemicals that act both synergistically and additively. The chemopreventive effects of fruits and vegetables should not be interpreted only in terms of the quantity of fruits and vegetables consumed, but also in terms of the quality of these foods. Studies have shown that the chemopreventive properties of certain foods and food groups are due to their high antioxidant capacity, antiproliferative activity, and protein concentration. Table 3 shows the antioxidant capacity, antiproliferative activity, and protein concentration of vegetable juices.

The majority of the vegetable extracts tested in this study, including vegetables that are commonly consumed in Western countries such as potato, carrot, lettuce, and tomato, had little effect on the proliferation of the tumour cell lines. The breast and prostate cancer cell lines were the only cancer cells showing some sensitivity to the potato extract, with a 50% inhibition of proliferation, while lettuce and carrot extracts had no significant effects on the proliferation of all the tested cell lines. The tomato extract was also ineffective in blocking tumour cell proliferation with the notable exception of the PC-3 prostate cell line, in agreement with the known chemopreventive effect of tomatoes on prostate cancer (Giovannucci, 2005).

We observed that cruciferous vegetables had potent inhibitory activities against most cancer cell lines. This inhibitory effect is most likely related to the content of these vegetables in glucosinolates (Fenwick, Heaney, & Mullin, 1983) since upon mechanical disruption of the vegetables, glucosinolates are rapidly converted to isothiocyanates, a highly reactive class of phytochemicals that potently inhibit several key events involved tumour cell growth (Keum, Jeong, & Kang, 2004; Thormarley, 2002). Brussels sprouts, which have the highest content in glucosinolates (McNaughton & Marks, 2004), were the most active cruciferous vegetable with complete inhibition of the proliferation of all tumour cell lines, and was also one of few tested vegetable extract that strongly inhibited the growth of the kidney tumour cell line (Caki-1). Other members of the Brassica oleracea species, such as kale, cabbage, curly cabbage, cauliflower and broccoli were also among the most inhibitory vegetables tested. Other cruciferous vegetables such as rutabaga, raddish and red cabbage were also inhibitory, although to a lesser extent, while Bok choy, a Chinese cabbage that contains much less glucosinolates (McNaughton & Marks, 2004) showed moderate activity towards three cell lines (prostate, breast and stomach) but was inactive in all other cases.

We show in this work that vegetables that are the most commonly consumed in Western countries, such as potato, carrot, tomato, and lettuce, had in general a weak effect on tumour cell proliferation. The lack of inhibitory effect of these widely consumed vegetables is noteworthy since potatoes, carrots, tomatoes, and leaf lettuces account for approximately 60% of total per capita vegetable intake in the United States adult population. Potatoes, in particular, represent as much as 32% of vegetable consumption, half of this intake being in the form of French fries (Johnston et al., 2000; Krebs-Smith & Kantor, 2001). By contrast, the intake of dark green and cruciferous vegetables is present less than 1% of mean fruit and vegetable consumption and that of garlic is even lower (Krebs-Smith & Kantor, 2001). Thus, although the consumption of fruits and vegetables in general must still be strongly encouraged, specific recommendations regarding the need to eat a wide variety of these foods, including cruciferous, dark green and Allium vegetables, are clearly required in order...
to increase the benefits of fruit and vegetable intake on cancer prevention.

The importance of eating a wide variety of vegetables is also well illustrated by the differential sensitivity of tumour cells to these foods. Thus, the antiproliferative effect of vegetable extracts markedly vary depending on the origin of the tumour and that no vegetable, with very few exceptions that will be discussed below, can be considered as effective against all types of cancer cells. Tumour cells derived from the kidney and the pancreas, two malignancies that are highly resistant to most chemotherapy regimens, were the least sensitive cancer cell lines tested in our study, with only 7 and 12 extracts showing an inhibitory activity greater than 50% on kidney and pancreatic cells, respectively. For example, a cauliflower extract, which markedly inhibit the proliferation of all other tested cell lines was much less active on Panc-1 cells and showed no significant inhibitory effect on Caki-2 cells. Dramatic differences in the inhibitory activity of some extracts were also observed, depending on the origin of the tumour cells. A good example is the effect of the radish extract, which completely abolishes the proliferation of stomach and breast cancer cells but had no inhibitory effect on tumour cells of lung, pancreas, brain and kidney origin. On the contrary, the orange bell pepper extract, which was inactive against most tumour cell types, showed significant inhibitory activity (75% inhibition) against tumour cells of prostate origin. The large variation existing in the nature and in the levels of anticancer phytotoxins in vegetables and the differential sensitivity of tumour cells to these molecules thus imply that a diversified diet, containing several distinct classes of vegetables (and hence of phytochemicals) is essential for effective prevention of cancer.

This study also identified a number of cruciferous and Allium vegetables as foods with exceptional inhibitory activity against all tested cell lines, including those from the kidney and pancreas. Garlic, leek, immature (green) onion as well as a number of cruciferous vegetables, notably Brussels sprouts, kale, broccoli and various cabbages were found to possess very potent inhibitory activities against all tested cell lines. These properties are in agreement with the known anticancer properties of these vegetables observed in both epidemiological and laboratory studies (Fleischauer & Arab, 2001; Galeone et al., 2006; Milner, 2001; Talalay & Fahey, 2001; Verhoeven et al., 1996). These chemopreventive effects are likely related to the formation of organosulfur compounds following mechanical disruption of these vegetables, namely isothiocyanates from cruciferous and a series of allyl sulfur molecules from Allium vegetables (Fenwick et al., 1983; Pinto, Lapsia, Shah, Santiago, & Kim, 2001; Wu, Kassie, & Mersch-Sundermann, 2005).

The comparative assessment of the inhibitory activity of common vegetables strongly suggest that not all of these vegetables are equally protective against cancer. In spite of intensive efforts to sensibilize Western populations to the benefits of fruit and vegetable consumption, the daily intake of these foods still remain very low and the overall spectrum of consumed fruits and vegetables is rather limited (Krebs-Smith & Kantor, 2001). It is especially noteworthy that vegetables that contain the highest anticancer properties, such as cruciferous, dark green and Allium vegetables, make up a miniscule amount of the overall fruit and vegetable consumption. Since the formation of tumours is a random event that occurs in a significant percentage of the adult population (Black & Welch, 1993), the increased consumption of these vegetables with high anticancer properties could play a central role in preventing these tumours to reach a clinical stage (Folman & Kalluri, 2004) and thus reduce the incidence of several types of cancers.
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